

Trade Finance: Contractual Terms and the Primacy of Institutions

Jean-Louis Arcand

Director, Centre for Finance and Development

Professor, Department of International Economics

Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies | Geneva

www.graduateinstitute.ch/cfd

www.graduateinstitute.ch/economics/faculty/arcand

Geneva, October 2015

The primacy of institutions

- Institutions *versus* geography
- Institutions are the key factor that determines economic development outcomes
- Indicators include things such as protection against expropriation risk or legal origins
- Trade, and trade finance, do not escape from this general principle

Lessons from the economics of contracts

- In economic analysis, a contractual relationship involves two issues:
 - Risk-sharing
 - Informational asymmetries
- Informational asymmetries come in two forms
 - Moral hazard
 - Adverse selection
- All are present in trade finance contracts
 - Tradeoffs determine the contractual form that is chosen

Contractual forms in trade finance and contract theory

- Contractual forms
 - ① Cash in advance
 - ② Open account
 - ③ Letter of credit
 - ④ Documentary collection
- How do they vary in terms of risk-sharing and asymmetric information issues?

Available evidence

- 1 Financial intermediation by banks is relatively infrequent
- 2 Long-term relationships between importers and exporters reduce cash in advance transactions
- 3 The institutional environment of the importing country is key

Low hanging fruit for the Swiss financial sector?

- Institutional quality and contractual enforcement
- Targeting letters of credit and documentary collection: banking fees are the key factor
- Financial development: effect of presence of foreign banks is significantly larger in countries with low levels of financial development
- Correspondent banks in developing countries